An Analysis of the Costs and Benefits of Autocomplete in IDEs

Shaokang Jiang, shj002@ucsd.edu

Does Autocomplete help?

Autocomplete Example:

| | Sugge | estion box | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Dra | 00 | | | | |
| ret | د Draft | com.google.api.services.gmail.model | com.google.api.services.gmail.model.Dra | | |
| | <mark>4 Dra</mark> fts | com.google.api.services.gmail.Gmail.Users | ft Quemostion datail | | |
| | ••• DriverAction | java.sql | Suggestion detail | | |
| ** | 😤 DrbgParameters | java.security | A draft email in the user's mailbox. | | |
| * T2: | 🔁 DateTimeRule | com.google.api.services.sheets.v4.model | | | |
| * id. | 😋 DriverManager | java.sql | This is the Java data model class that specifies how | | |
| * | 🔁 DropLocation | javax.swing.JList | to parse/serialize into the JSON that is transmitted | | |
| * Retu | 🔁 DropLocation | javax.swing.JTable | over HTTP when working with the Gmail API. For a | | |
| * Plea | 😫 DropLocation | javax.swing.JTree | detailed explanation see: | | |
| * You | 🔁 DropLocation | javax.swing.TransferHandler | https://developers.google.com/api-client- | | |
| * | 😂 DropLocation | javax.swing.text.JTextComponent | library/java/google-http-java-client/json | | |
| * You | 😫 DeleteRangeReques | com.google.api.services.sheets.v4.mod | | | |
| * | | | Author: | | |
| * @param gmail A gmail api client that has done authentication | | | | | |
| * | Most of you | ur operations should use methods belo | | | |
| * | the Gmail. | users class | | | |
| * @para | am id The ID of t | the message to be Trashed. | | | |
| * @ret | urn the Trash object | you constructed. | | | |

public static Send sendEmail (Gmail gmail, Message message) throws MessagingException, IOException {

Impact of Autocomplete:

Possible advantages

- No need to remember name
- Exploring more terminology
- Suggestion details is perfect
- Offering a more direct way of Shortened information accessing information

Possible disadvantages

- Filling remaining characters Distracting from current tasks
 - Context-unrelated suggestions may be misleading
 - No need to memorize
 - prevents people from learning
 - High information density

Our Hypotheses:



complete more programming tasks

Autocomplete reduces the number of

Programmers who use autocomplete

keystrokes required to complete tasks.



REDUCE READING TIME

Using autocomplete inhibits API learning.

Autocomplete reduces the time spent in reading documentation.

Method:

Experiment design:

- 2 hours, between-subjects study
- Web-based VSCode + Eye tracker



- Part 0: General instructions (15 minutes). Read the introduction page.
- Part 1: Coding (110 minutes). Finish 10 tasks in increasing difficulty while having access to relevant documentation
- Part 2: Quiz (no time limit). Finish a quiz relevant to Gmail API
- Part 3: Post-study survey (no time limit). Finish a post-study survey





Post-study survey

Very

Extremel

useful

Participants:



Michael Coblenz, mcoblenz@ucsd.edu

Result:

35 30 ŭ 2520

Without With **Quiz Score** vs autocomplete usage (p≈0.0079, N=28, d≈1.1).

| Inhibits Learning | No effect | P L |
|----------------------|------------|--------|
| 5 (16%) | 3 (9.4%) | 1 |

Completed more tasks?

| Shorter time |
|------------------------|
| Not more task |
| Completion time |

versus autocomplete usage: (*p* < 0.0001) across conditions with $R^2 \approx 0.54$, and the autocomplete group is 8.2% faster than the group without autocomplete.

(p≈0.11, N=28)

Reduced the number of keystrokes? No significant result observed



Conclusion:

The primary benefit of autocomplete is in providing information, not in reducing time spent typing.

- Autocomplete reduces the time needed to finish tasks.
- Autocomplete doesn't significantly reduce typing effort.
- Autocomplete helps programmers learn new materials faster while reducing the amount of reading effort.

Using autocomplete inhibits API learning. No, it promotes learning



Understanding questions score vs autocomplete usage (p≈0.024).



Without With *Memorizing* questions score vs autocomplete usage (p≈0.20, d=0.50).



Without With *Exploration* questions score vs autocomplete usage (p≈0.021, d=0.93).

Table 2. Beliefs about how autocomplete influences learning

- Promotes Unsure Learning
- <u>19 (59%) 3 (9.4%)</u>
- Helpful: learn and apply the right method, reducing time spent in reading documentation, learning the type of each variable. Not helpful: understand APIs extensively and inhibiting thinking.



Reduced time in reading documentation. Yes





Coding duration (min.) vs. autocomplete usage ($p\approx 0.22$, *N*=27, d≈0.48)

